

STANDARDIZATION OF RECIPE AND DRYING METHOD FOR CANDY MAKING OF BER (ZIZYPHUSMAURITIANA L.) CV. UMRAN

P.N.KORE, VIRENDRA SINGH, KADU R.B, SANDIP MAKHMALE & LOKESH YADAV

Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, India

ABSTRACT

The present investigation revealed that, candies treated with R_3 (80 % sugar solution + 1 % citric acid) showed maximum TSS (80.79 °Brix) and total sugar content (98.22%), whereas, the maximum titrable acidity (1.29%) and ascorbic acid content (25.30%) were observed in R_1 (60 % sugar solution + 1 % citric acid). The chemical characteristics like TSS, titrable acidity, ascorbic acid and total sugar content were obtained maximum intreatmentD₁ (Sun drying) in the candies in her during the entire storage periods. The treatment combination R_3D_1 (80 % sugar solution + 1 % citric acid and sun drying) recorded to have higher total sugar content (99.88%), whereas the paramount TSS (80.83 and 80.83 °Brix) and titrable acidity (1.30%) were recorded in treatments (R_3D_2 and R_3D_3) and R_1D_1 , respectively.

KEYWORDS: Ber Candy, Recipe And Drying Methods

INTRODUCTION

Ber (ZizyphusmauritianaLamk.) is one of the most ancient fruits of India and is ranked third in fruit production. It belongs to genus Zizyphus, of family Rhamanaceae. The genus Zizyphus, includes about 50 species, out of which, 18 to 20 are native of India (Watt, 1893). It is commonly accepted as a dry farm crop.

Ber is mainly grown in the states of Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Gujarat, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Punjab, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh, (Pareek, 1983). The predominant cultivars that are being grown in Gujarat are Umran, Kadaka, Sanaur-3, Sanaur-6 and Popular Gola. Attractive and fascinating ber fruits can be considered as the gift of nature to mankind. Conventionally, ber is considered as a poor man's apple. It is valued for its nutritional qualities and is a rich source of vitamin-C, A and B-complex. Ber is a perishable fruit and needs to be consumed or processed immediately, and also it cannot be stored safely in normal condition for a long time. Besides this, the post-harvest losses of fruits in our country are up-to 30 per cent, which reduce the per capita availability (Subrahamanyam, 1986). Much attention needs to be given to post-harvest management and value added of this perishable fruit.

Completely Randomized Design with Factorial concept was followed in the experiment with two factor viz. Factor a: Different recipe, Factor B: drying method and their combinations.

The ber fruits were dipped in water for discarding light and infested fruits. These fruits were then cleaned under running tap water to remove impurities and dust from the surface of fruits. The fruits were peeled and cut into pieces with uniform size, then blanched in boiling water at 98 ± 2 ⁰C for 2-3 min as per the method suggested by Kadam et al, 1991. Then Sugar syrup was made with different recipes and dried with different drying methods then

With increased production of a particular fruit in a season, there is a glut in the market and the farmer is at loss due to low market price for his produce. This is also true in case of ber. It is therefore necessary to develop suitable technology for processing of the fruits. Thus the processing of beer into marketable demanded products likes, pulp, juice concentrates, jams, jelly, syrup, bear candy, berry powder, tutti-fruity, slices, shreds and wine will help to increase the shelf life, minimize the glut in the market during its peak season of production, reduces post-harvest losses, enhances the export, which ultimately fetches the valuable foreign exchange and improves socioeconomic conditions of farmers, processors and entrepreneurs. Now days, the processing aspect of ber fruit is receiving some attention. Among the different processes, candy making has been proved to be viable and appropriate for preparation of beer candy, much longer time is required. Therefore, it is necessary to minimize the time of candy making process. This could be done by reducing time of syruping and by minimizing the time of drying, without affecting the quality of her candy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An investigation was carried out in the post graduate Laboratory, Department of Horticulture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh during 2011-12. The packaging of candy of each treatment under study was done in polypropylene (pp) pouches of 50 μ thickness of 100 g packets and was stored during February-April, 2012 at room temperature (18.2-38.2 ^oC and 17.2-79.3 % RH) for a period of 3 months & observations were recorded at an interval of 30 days.

RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

The experimental results revealed that the candy making significantly influenced due to different a recipe and drying method of ber.

| Treatment | Treatment Details | TSS (⁰ Brix) | Acidity (%) | Ascorbic Acid (Mg/100 G) | Total Sugars (%) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| A. Recipe | | | | | |
| R ₁ | 60% sugar solution + 1% citric acid | 61.44 | 1.29 | 25.30 | 78.19 |
| R ₂ | 70% sugar solution + 1% citric acid | 70.94 | 1.18 | 22.56 | 91.03 |
| R ₃ | 80% sugar solution + 1% citric acid | 80.79 | 0.94 | 19.76 | 98.22 |
| S.Em.± | | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.14 |
| C.D. at 5% | | 0.50 | 0.06 | 0.50 | 0.41 |
| B. Drying method | | | | | |
| D ₁ | Sun drying | 71.67 | 1.20 | 23.14 | 90.87 |
| D ₂ | Oven drying | 70.89 | 1.12 | 22.34 | 89.27 |
| D ₃ | Tray drying | 70.61 | 1.09 | 22.17 | 87.31 |
| S.Em.± | | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.14 |
| C.D. at 5% | | 0.50 | 0.06 | 0.50 | 0.41 |
| C.V. % | | 0.73 | 4.01 | 2.20 | 0.47 |
| Interaction | | SIG. | SIG. | NS | SIG. |

| Table 1: Effect of Recipe and I | Orving Methods on O | Duality Parameters of Ber | Candy at 90 th Days |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | |

| Treatment (R×D) | TSS (⁰ Brix) | Acidity (%) | Ascorbic Acid (Mg/100 G) | Total Sugars (%) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| R_1D_1 | 62.67 | 1.30 | 25.76 | 79.32 |
| R_1D_2 | 61.33 | 1.29 | 25.01 | 78.22 |
| R_1D_3 | 60.33 | 1.28 | 25.13 | 77.03 |
| R_2D_1 | 71.67 | 1.23 | 23.29 | 93.42 |
| R_2D_2 | 70.50 | 1.16 | 22.80 | 91.36 |
| R_2D_3 | 70.67 | 1.15 | 21.58 | 88.32 |
| R_3D_1 | 80.67 | 1.08 | 20.36 | 99.88 |
| R_3D_2 | 80.83 | 0.90 | 19.21 | 98.22 |
| R_3D_3 | 80.83 | 0.83 | 19.80 | 96.57 |
| S.Em.± | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.24 |
| C.D. at 5% | 0.90 | 0.09 | NS | 0.71 |
| C.V. % | 0.73 | 4.01 | 2.20 | 0.47 |

 Table 2: Interaction Effects of Recipe and Drying Methods on

 Quality Parameter of Ber Candy at 90th Days

Different Recipe and Drying Method

Among the different recipe significantly maximum TSS (80.790Brix) and total sugars (98.22 %) at 90th day was found in R_3 (80% sugar solution + 1% citric acid), whereas, significantly lowest TSS and total sugar was found in R_1 (60% sugar solution + 1% citric acid). While, significantly maximum acidity (1.29 %) and ascorbic acid (25.30 mg/100g) at 90th day was found in R_1 (60% sugar solution + 1% citric acid), whereas, significantly lowest acidity and ascorbic acid was found in R_3 (80% sugar solution + 1% citric acid). In case of drying treatment, at 90th day significantly maximum TSS, acidity, ascorbic acid and total sugar (71.670Brix, 1.20%, 23.40 mg/100g and 90.87 %) was found in D1 (Sun drying) respectively, whereas, lowest TSS, acidity, ascorbic acid and total sugar was found in D₃ (Try drying) in ber candy (Table 1). The decrease in ascorbic acid in candies, during storage might be due to oxidation or irreversible conversion of Lascorbic acid, into dehydro ascorbic acid oxidase (ascorbimase). The decrease in ascorbic acid is also might be due to its oxidation to dihydroxy-ascorbic acid, during storage period and high temperature during tray drying. And, in decrease in ascorbic acid during storage period was also reported by Gupta et al. (1980) in ber candy, Kumar (1998) in papaya candy, Antala (2010) in guava slices.

Interaction Effect of Recipe and Drying Methods

It is evident from data that the interaction effect of recipe treatments and drying methods on total soluble solids content was maximum at 90th day of storage found in R_3D_2 (80.83 0Brix) and R_3D_3 (80.83 0Brix) which was at par with R_3D_1 (80.670Brix), while lowest TSS was found in R_1D_3 . However, maximum acidity was found in R_1D_1 (1.30 %) which was found at par with R_1D_2 , R_1D_3 and R_2D_1 whereas lower acidity (0.83 %) was found in R_3D_3 . At 90th day of storage ascorbic acid content of ber candy was found non-significant. Significantly, maximum total sugars was found in R_3D_1 (99.88 %), at 90th day during storage. While significantly minimum total sugars was found in R_1D_3 .

CONCLUSIONS

Results have clearly indicated that the treatment R_3D_1 (80 % sugar solution + 1 % citric acid and sun drying) was best for the chemical characteristics of ber, as the sun drying regulates the process of osmosis and concentration of sugar in the candies.

REFERENCES

- 1. Antala. D. K. (2010). Packaging and storage studies on fresh guava fruits and Osmotic-air dehydrated powder of guava (Psidiumguajava L). Unpublished Ph. D. Thesis, Junagadh Agriculture University, Junagadh, Gujarat, (India).
- 2. Gupta, O. P, Kainsa, R. L. and Chauvan, K.S. (1980). Post-harvest studies on ber fruits: Preparation of candy. Haryana Agric. Univ.J. Res.10 (2): 163-165.
- 3. Kadam, S. S, Chavan, U.D. and Dhotre, V. A. (1991). Processing of ber-I. Preparation of ready to serve beverage and candy. Beverage and Food World. 18(3): 13-14.
- Kumar, Sanjeev and Singh, I.S. (1998). Studies on processing of papaya (Carica papaya L.) fruits. Prog. Hort. 30(3/4): 139-147.
- 5. Pareek, O. P. (1983). The Ber. I.C.A.R, New Delhi. pp: 32-36
- Subrahmanyam, K. V. (1986). Post-harvest losses in horticultural crops. An appraisal, Economic situation in India. 41(5): 339-343.
- 7. Watt, G. (1893). 'A dictionary of economic products in India', Vol-2, Part-4. Cosmos Publications, Delhi-6. pp. 366-374.